Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900 WASHINGTON DC 20004-2128 **COPY MAILED**

JUL 1 4 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Kozel et al.

Application No. 10/809,831 Filed: March 26, 2004

Attorney Docket No. 031673-3000

: DEÇISION ON PETITION

: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), filed June 2, 2005, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) for the benefit of a prior-filed provisional application.

The petition is **granted**.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and must be filed during the pendency of the nonprovisional application. In addition, the petition must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(i) to the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant pending nonprovisional application was filed on March 26, 2004, within twelve months of the filing date of the prior-filed provisional application, Application No. 60/502,533, which was filed on September 12, 2003, and the prior-filed provisional application, Application No. 60/529,625 filed December 16, 2003, for which priority is claimed. A reference to the prior-filed provisional applications has been included in an amendment to the application data sheet filed with the petition.

The instant nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim for priority herein is submitted after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii). Also, the reference to the prior-filed provisional application was submitted during the pendency of the nonprovisional application for which the benefit is sought. See 35 U.S.C. §119(e). Accordingly, having found that the instant petition satisfies the conditions of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) for acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. §119(e), the petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim of benefit to prior-filed provisional Application Nos. 60/502,533 and 60/529,625 is granted. Applicant requests reconsideration of the previous decision and correctly notes that the application papers as filed did contain an incorporation statement for the referenced provisional application.

The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed application under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) should not be construed as meaning that the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the prior-filed application. In order for the instant application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(4) and (a)(5) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt accompanying this decision on petition includes the prior-filed application should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the prior-filed application noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.

A corrected Filing Receipt, which includes the priority claim to the prior-filed provisional application, accompanies this decision on petition.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3217.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 2141 for appropriate action in due course - /

Brian Hearn

Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

for Patent Examination Policy

ATTACHMENT: Corrected Filing Receipt